

REMARKS

In the Office Action the Examiner allowed claims 1 and 2, and rejected claims 10-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for being indefinite. Claims 1, 2, and 10-14 remain in the application.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the allowance of claims 1 and 2.

The rejection for indefiniteness was in two parts but both parts related to the same claim language in claim 10. Claims 11-14 were rejected as depending on claim 10. This objectionable claim language has been amended to track that of claim 1. Accordingly, applicants believe that this amendment places claim 10 in condition for allowance and thus claims 11-14 as well.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully solicited. Please contact the below-signed if there are any issues regarding this communication or otherwise concerning the current application.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Freescall Semiconductor, Inc.  
Law Department

Customer Number: 23125

By: James L. Clingan Jr.  
James L. Clingan Jr.  
Attorney of Record  
Reg. No.: 30,163  
Telephone: (512) 996-6839  
Fax No.: (512) 996-6854  
Email: Jim.Clingan@Freescall.com